

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/799,353	03/12/2004	Heinrich Schenk	2004P50220 US	6351	
25281 DICKE, BILL	7590 07/05/2007 IG & CZAJA		EXAMINER		
FIFTH STREE	FIFTH STREET TOWERS			MALZAHN, DAVID H	
	TIFTH STREET, SUITE 2250 IS, MN 55402)	· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		·	2193	·	
	•		·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/799,353	SCHENK, HEINRICH			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David H. Malzahn	2193			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MON	NTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D resistance in the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			·			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 J	<u>une 2004</u> .				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims		•			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application	1.				
•—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)🖂	☐ Claim(s) <u>1,7-13,18,24-30 and 32-34</u> is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>2-6,14-17,19-23 and 31</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		• •			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•			
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 12 March 2004 is/are:	a) accepted or b) ⊠ object	ted to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	eceived in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea	, , , ,				
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not re	ceived.			
	•					
Attachmer	nt(s)	•				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application						
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/20/04</u> .	6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 2-6, 14-17, 19-23 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 is inconsistent with claim 1 because it recites a different way of obtaining the corrected signal. It is suggested that claim 2 be rewritten as an independent claim. Similarly note claims 6, 15 and 19. Claims 3 and 20 are mis-descriptive because there is only one iteration for each one of the plurality of partial correction signals. Claim 14 is inconsistent with claim 1 because there is no crest reduction. Similarly note claim 31. Also, proper dependent claims must include all limitations of the claim(s) that they are dependent upon.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 1, 7-13, 18, 24-30 and 32-34 are allowed.
- 3. Claims 2-6, 14-17, 19-23 and 31 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Specification

4. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/799,353 Page 3

Art Unit: 2193

5. The specification was substantial amended via a preliminary amendment of June 24, 2004, but the only drawings in the application are the originally submitted drawings of March 12, 2004. The specification and the drawings are inconsistent, e.g. page 1 refers to elements 24, 25 and 26 of Fig. 1 but Fig. 1 fails to show these elements so labeled, and page 2 refers to Fig. 2 but the drawing show Figs. 2A and 2B. Also, it is suggested that applicant submit a substitute specification for the sake of clarity.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David H. Malzahn whose telephone number is (571) 272-3727. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-ai An, can be reached on 571-272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2193

David H. Malzako Primary Examiner Art Unit 2193